

# After Incident Report

## Lessons Learned, NARTC

The purpose of the After Incident Report is to identify issues that occurred on an incident and how they were resolved. The lessons learned in the report will also be used to refresh or update training curriculums. Issues and trends that are identified may have Fire Action Collection Teams assigned to them in the future for further analysis and resolution.

Incident Management Teams and Agency Administrators are asked to complete the following questionnaire for the incident(s) that they managed:

Incident Name	Dates of Assignment
<b>Malheur/Monument Complex</b>	<b>7/12-7/26 &amp; 7/30-8/12</b>
Unit or Jurisdiction(s)	Geographic Area
<b>OR-MAF/OR-WWF/ODF</b>	<b>R-6</b>
Report Submitted by	
<b>Mike Morcom/ Incident Commander Blue Mtn. Team</b>	

1. What was the most notable success at the incident that others may learn from?  
Several overhead teams worked within an area command structure. The teams did a high-quality job of sharing resources as they became available, or during critical periods.
2. What were some of the most difficult challenges faced and how were they overcome?
  - 1- Merging and working with very large data bases in ISUITE's. The team added a computer specialist to the roster for ISUITE's, GIS and WEB support.
  - 2- Lack of intermediate overhead forced the team to create large staging areas for crews and engines. We had as many as 200 people in staging for several days waiting for Strike Team Leaders and Task Force Leaders.
  - 3- Encountered a number of problems with contract crews and engines. Examples included the use of drugs and alcohol, inappropriate behavior, line performance issues, etc. The team stuck to its zero tolerance policy and all crews and miscellaneous overhead guilty of infractions were demobed, with the appropriate documentation and follow-up.
3. What changes, additions or deletions are recommended to various training curriculums? Need to strengthen training related to people using ISUITE's, in both IRIS and ICAR's. Check in status recorders that are not familiar with the program can cause problems that could potentially take hours to fix. Merging data bases can be a difficult and painful process. Not sure what impact ROSS will have when it comes on line. We need more training and field opportunities for intermediate supervisors such as Task-Force / Strike Team leaders, Dozer bosses etc. Safety officers are also in very short supply.

4. What issues were not resolved to your satisfaction and need further review? Based on what was learned, what is your recommendation for resolution?

The cost apportionment agreement between the Forest and ODF changed three times during the course of the assignment. There needs to be a standard developed and followed throughout the region.

Please submit this report to the Lessons Learned Program at the National Advanced Resource Technology Center (NARTC) attention Rich Wands (rwands@fs.fed.us or Rich\_Wands@nps.gov or by Fax 520-670-6413)

Thank you for completing the report. Others can learn from your experiences.