

# WILDLAND FIRE BEHAVIOR CASE STUDIES AND ANALYSES: OTHER EXAMPLES, METHODS, REPORTING STANDARDS, AND SOME PRACTICAL ADVICE



M.E. Alexander and D.A. Thomas

Case studies done in one country can be applied to another, if fuel type characteristics are relevant, by interpreting burning conditions through the other country's fire danger rating system.

This special issue of *Fire Management Today* constitutes the second installment of articles involving fire behavior case studies and analyses of wildland fires. All articles in this series appeared in past issues of *Fire Management Today* or its predecessors. The 18 articles in this issue are in chronological order, from 1967 to 2001.

In the lead article to the first installment (*Fire Management Today*, volume 63(3) [Summer 2003]), we overviewed the value, approaches, and practical uses of fire behavior case studies and analyses (Alexander and Thomas 2003). Here we point out examples of case studies published elsewhere (both nationally and internationally) and offer some general thoughts on wildland fire behavior observation and documentation.

## Other Examples of Case Studies

*Fire Management Today* and its predecessors have certainly not been the only source or outlet for

*Marty Alexander is a senior fire behavior research officer with the Canadian Forest Service at the Northern Forestry Centre, Edmonton, Alberta; and Dave Thomas is the regional fuels specialist for the USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Region, Ogden, UT.*

---

The most important thing to record is the position of the head fire at various times—the more observations, the better.

---

case studies. In the last issue of the journal, we cited some examples of other sources (Alexander and Thomas 2003). Others are cited below.

USDA Forest Service fire researchers, in collaboration with other investigators, have published a number of case studies in the form of journal articles, conference papers, and in-house station publications. Notable examples include studies on the:

- 1965 Hellgate Fire, western Virginia (Taylor and Williams 1968);
- 1966 Gaston Fire, central South Carolina (DeCoste and others 1968);
- 1966 Loop Fire, southern California (Countryman and others 1968);
- 1967 Sundance Fire, northern Idaho (Anderson 1968);
- 1968 Canyon Fire, southern California (Countryman and others 1969);
- 1971 Little Sioux Fire, northeastern Minnesota (Sando and Haines 1972);
- 1971 Air Force Bomb Range Fire, eastern North Carolina (Wade and Ward 1973);
- 1980 Mack Lake Fire, northern

Lower Michigan (Simard and others 1983);

- 1990 Dude Fire, northern Arizona (Goens and Andrews 1998); and the
- 1994 South Canyon Fire, west-central Colorado (Butler and others 1998).\*

In the 1990s, the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) produced several case studies, in very glossy formats, on the following wildfires:

- 1989 Black Tiger Fire, central Colorado (NFPA 1990);
- 1990 Stephan Bridge Road Fire, northern Lower Michigan (NFPA 1991);
- 1991 Spokane area fires, north-eastern Washington (NFPA 1992a); and
- 1991 Oakland–Berkeley Hills Fire, west-central California (NFPA 1992b).

A few of these U.S. case studies are available on the World Wide Web or in hard copy for a nominal fee through the National Fire Equipment System (NFES 2003).

\* For an overview of this excellent publication, see the very fine summary prepared by Butler and others (2001) on page 77 in this issue of *Fire Management Today*.

---

## The challenge of writing a case study report is to distill the mass of information into a coherent summary.

---

Canadian Forest Service fire researchers have also formally prepared several case studies over the years on the following wildfires:

- 1964 Gwatkin Lake Fire, eastern Ontario (Van Wagner 1965);
- 1968 Lesser Slave Fire, central Alberta (Kiil and Grigel 1969);
- 1971 Thackeray and Whistle Lake Fires, northeastern Ontario (Walker and Stocks 1972);
- 1980 DND-4-80 Fire, east-central Alberta (Alexander and others 1983);
- 1986 Terrace Bay 7/86 Fire, north-central Ontario (Stocks 1988); and
- 2001 Duffield Fire, central Alberta (Mottus 2002).

Australasian fire researchers have also made numerous contributions, including studies on the following wildfires:

- 1955 Balmoral Fire, South Island of New Zealand (Prior 1958);
- 1958 Wandilo Fire, South Australia (McArthur and others 1966);
- 1977 Western District fires, Victoria (McArthur and others 1982);
- 1979 Caroline Fire, South Australia (Geddes and Pfeiffer 1981);
- 1983 Ash Wednesday fires, South Australia (Keeves and Douglas 1983);
- 1991 Tikokino Fire, North Island of New Zealand (Rassmusen and Fogarty 1997);
- 1994 Karori fires, North Island of New Zealand (Fogarty 1996);
- 1995 Berringa Fire, west-central Victoria (Tolhurst and Chatto 1998);

- 2002 Atawhai Fire, South Island of New Zealand (Peace and Anderson 2002); and
- 2003 Miners Road Fire, South Island of New Zealand (Anderson 2003).

The Australians have also published several case studies analyzing the effectiveness of fuel reduction burning on subsequent fire behavior and on fire suppression of high-intensity wildfires (e.g., Buckley 1992; Underwood and others 1985).

Case studies have been undertaken by fire researchers in other countries as well (Cruz and Viegas 1997; Dentoni and others 2001). It is worth noting that one can extend the usefulness of wildland fire case studies done in one country to another, provided that the fuel type characteristics are relevant, simply by interpreting the burning conditions through the use of the other country's fire danger rating system (e.g., Alexander 1991, 1992, 2000; Alexander and Pearce 1992a, 1993).

### Field Observations and Records

Whereas no recipe or step-by-step procedural manual on wildland fire observations presently exists, a good number of general references are available (Alexander and Pearce 1992b; Burrows 1984; Cheney and Sullivan 1997; Chester and Adams 1963; Rothermel and Rinehart 1983; Turner and others 1961). Moreover, the various case studies already published offer guidance themselves.

Wildland fire observation and documentation can be broken into four distinct stages or phases:

1. Detection,
2. Initial attack,
3. Later stages of suppression, and
4. After containment.

Some of the information on the early phases of a wildland fire is normally recorded as part of the operational procedures related to completing the individual fire report, although additional data might be requested (e.g., Haines and others 1985). However, if we are to acquire high-quality data (Donoghue 1982), then we need to emphasize the importance of fire behavior observation/documentation for our initial-attack firefighters so that we get their "buy-in."

Although myriad things might be recorded between the time of initial attack and the time when a fire is finally deemed "out," the most important thing to record is the position of the head fire at various times—the more observations, the better. From these observations, the rates of fire spread and intensity can be calculated. At times, these observations are difficult to make, for a variety of reasons, such as limited visibility and logistical issues (see the sidebar on page 6). When they can be made, they must be coupled with observations or measurements of wind velocity.

Although advances in photography, remote sensing and weather monitoring technology over the years have greatly facilitated matters (Anderson 2001; Dibble 1960; Lawson 1975; Ogilvie and others 1995; Schaefer 1959, 1961; Warren and Vance 1981), good representative or site-specific wind readings, for example, are still difficult to obtain. In this regard, one should not discount the relative value of

---

Make it a habit to always prepare at least a one- to two-page case study—it will hone your skills as a predictor of fire behavior.

---

field observers using the Beaufort Wind Scale (Jemison 1934; List 1951) as a simple means of acquiring estimates of windspeed.

Several forms exist for eventually developing a wildland fire case study (e.g., Rothermel and Rinehart 1983; Rothermel and Hartford 1992). However, forms can sometimes deter data gathering; an observer might cringe at the thought of completing yet another form. Remember, the most important information to gather is the time/location of the head fire and the corresponding windspeed.

The old adage is true: A picture is worth a thousand words. In case studies, however, it is worth more to record the time and location.

One should consider obtaining vertical aerial photography of the fire area relatively soon after the fire's occurrence, especially in forested areas. This is often a very useful tool in carrying out a case study investigation.

### **Report Preparation and Documentation**

Case study reports on wildland fire behavior vary tremendously in length and complexity. They range from short, very simple descriptions (e.g., USDA Forest Service 1960) to very large and extremely detailed, comprehensive accounts (e.g., Graham 2003a, 2003b). One should not be intimidated by the sheer size and level of detail in some

case study reports; their bulk should not discourage you from preparing some type of report, no matter how short.

The size of a report is often driven by fire size and duration. A brief account might suffice for a specific issue (e.g., Countryman 1969) or for a particular situation or event during an incident (e.g., Pirkso and others 1965; Sutton 1984). For a long incident, a more voluminous publication might be more appropriate, with numerous appendixes to document the fire (e.g., Bushey 1991). Regardless of size, all reports have some things in common, such as descriptions of the components of the fire environment, although the level of detail might vary.

## **Distractions From Making Fire Behavior Observations**

*Brown and Davis (1973) identify some of the distractions on a fire that can keep one from preparing good wildland fire behavior case studies.*

A common deficiency of most analyses of large fires is that the detail and sequence of what men did in their efforts to bring the fire under control overshadow what the fire did. This is a natural outcome. Usually all participants are so fully engaged in other emergency duties that no one is available to make objective and continuing firsthand observations of the fire itself. So the fire's overall behavior, and particularly the time and sequence of significant changes in its behavior, are uncertain and are likely to be poorly reconstructed from cir-

cumstantial evidence. This seriously limits the validity of conclusions drawn as to the adequacy or inadequacy of the efforts made to control it.

The case study can usually correct this difficulty. Ideally, it is planned in advance and carried out by a trained research team who moves in as soon as it is apparent that a blowup fire is in progress. By means of observation and measurements, such a team develops a detailed time history of the fire. Usually this is the form a detailed log of events and a care-

fully drawn map showing the spread of the fire at various time intervals. In addition to such information, detailed weather measurements are sought ...

As better understanding and prediction of large-fire behavior develops, analysis of action on large fires and the more comprehensive case studies as well will become more meaningful and consequently more valuable in training men and in planning fire suppression strategy.

---

If one isn't careful, the plethora of information can stymie even the most dedicated case study author.

---

After compiling all the information required to produce a case study report, one must write it up. The challenge is to distill the mass of information into a coherent summary. To assist in this process, we suggest a certain format (see the sidebar below). The case study by Pearce and others (1994) is a good example of a very concise report based on this format.

Other sections could be added to the format, such as fire effects on

people (both firefighters and the public), homes, and ecosystems. The suppression strategy and tactics could also be addressed, including any associated human factors.

However, as Thomas (1994) points out, not all of us are writers. Some might wish to follow a one- or two-page format (e.g., McAlpine and others 1990 [figure 2]). Ideally, it should include a photograph or two and additional weather prod-

ucts (surface and upper air charts and profiles of temperature/moisture and winds aloft).

### **Some General Advice and Lessons Learned**

We offer the following practical advice in preparing wildland fire behavior case studies. Our thoughts and comments are based on actual lessons learned from preparing case studies (e.g., Carpenter and others 2002; Pearce and others 1994).

## **Suggested Outline for Preparing a Wildland Fire Behavior Case Study Report**

These guidelines are based in part on those originally prepared by M.E. Alexander for use in three advanced fire behavior courses sponsored by the National Rural Fire Authority in New Zealand in 1992–93. The guidelines were subsequently used in six wildland fire behavior specialist courses sponsored by the Canadian Interagency Forest Fire Centre in Hinton, Alberta, in 1996–2001.

1. **Introduction:** Significance of the fire, including regional map with fire location.
2. **Fire Chronology and Development:** Cause; time of origin and/or detection; initial attack action; forward spread and perimeter growth; fire characteristics, such as spotting distances and crowning

\*Detailed work on fuel characteristics (e.g., amounts by fuel complex strata, moisture content of live fuels) will depend on the situation and the specific need. Generalizations are often satisfactory for most purposes.

activity; suppression strategy and tactics employed; mopup difficulty; fire progress map showing point of origin; final area burned and perimeter; ground and aerial photos, where possible.

3. **Details of the Fire Environment:**

- **Topography**—Review major features; include topographic map and photos, if pertinent.
- **Fuels**—Describe the principal fuel type(s); include a vegetation cover type map and any photos, if possible.\*
- **Fire Weather**—Describe prefire weather as appropriate; summarize synoptic weather features and include surface map; present daily fire weather observations; present fire danger ratings, including drought indexes, and append monthly fire weather record form;

present hourly weather observations, if relevant; denote location of weather station(s) on regional map or fire progress map and comment on the relevance of the readings to the fire area, including notes about the station's instrumentation.\*\*

4. **Analysis of Fire Behavior:** For example, discuss the fire's behavior in relation to the characteristics of the fire environment and the success/failure of the suppression operations.
5. **Concluding Remarks:** For example, what did you learn about predicting fire behavior and fire behavior documentation from this assignment?

\*\*It is a good idea to cultivate a long-term relationship with your local fire weather meteorologist/forecaster and seek their assistance as a cooperator.

---

---

## Form your own view of what happened only after interviewing many firefighters and getting multiple perspectives.

---

---

**Motivation.** It is often very difficult to find the motivation to write a case study. On all wildland fires, other demands and the rapidity of events can be discouraging. Moreover, no policy or regulation requires a case study. It must come from your own motivation and sense of professionalism. **Lesson Learned:** As a practitioner, make it a habit to always prepare at least a one- to two-page case study. You will be richly rewarded, for it will force you to reflect on why a fire behaved the way it, honing your skills as a predictor of fire behavior (see the sidebar).

**Your Standard Is Too High.** There is a human tendency to establish goals that are nearly impossible to reach. **Lesson Learned:** Limit the length and depth of the report to the time available. Don't think you have to write a research report that meets the quality standards of a fire laboratory publication. A sim-

ple, short case study, told from your individual perspective, is better than no case study at all.

**Organization.** Just as we must practice our fire behavior prediction skills before going on a wild-fire, so it is also important to mentally prepare ourselves for writing a case study. **Lesson Learned:** Get organized before the fire season begins. Prethink how you are going to prepare your case studies. Ask yourself what generic fire behavior information you are going to need (such as fire danger ratings, remote automatic weather station data, or fuel moisture readings), and prepare yourself to quickly access the information. Useful Webpages include the Western Regional Climate Center (<http://www.wrcc.dri.edu>) and the U.S. Drought Monitor (<http://www.drought.unl.edu>). Become familiar with such sources before the fire occurs. Finally, be

systematic in your collection of data. An indexed, three-ring notebook constructed around the themes of observed fire behavior, such as fuels, topography, and weather, will help you organize pertinent information for easy retrieval.

**Information Overload.** The amount of information available about the fire environment can be overwhelming. If one isn't careful, the plethora of information can stymie even the most dedicated case study author. **Lesson Learned:** Don't try to use or validate every fire danger, fire weather, or fire behavior model available. Decide which model you want to use for your case study and stick to it. For example, ask yourself whether the BEHAVE fire behavior prediction system would meet your need as opposed to FARSITE. Think about the amount of time you have available to run various models. Pick the

### Why Write a Case Study?

*Luke and McArthur (1978) give a good rationale for writing wildland fire behavior case studies, even on small incidents:*

Inquiries should be made into all fires as soon as possible after they have been controlled. Even short descriptions of very small fires have a value.\* Recording the details of large fires is vital because success in the future depends largely on knowledge gained in the past.

A map showing the perimeter of a fire at progressive time intervals provides the best basis for a case history analysis. This should be accompanied by descriptions of fire behavior related to weather, fuel and topography, and details of the manning arrangements, strategy and tactics employed during each suppression phase.

Particular attention should be given to initial attack action....

At the conclusion of the analysis it should be possible to prepare a précis of the reasons for success or failure, not for the purpose of taking people to task for errors of judgment, but solely to ensure that the lessons that have been learnt contribute to the success of future suppression operations.

\*It is true that we do naturally tend to focus solely on just the conflagration type wildland fires.

---

If every fire manager and fire researcher made it a personal goal to produce one case study per year, just think how many case studies could be produced in a 20- to 35-year career!

---

model that meets the time available. **Sources of Information.** Secondary sources of fire behavior information are often as important as primary sources. In a way, the preparation of a fire behavior case study is like detective work: You are always on the hunt for clues explaining why your fire behaved the way it did. **Lesson Learned:** Don't depend solely on the standard sources of fire behavior information, such as models, Websites, and fire weather forecasts. For example, photographs or video taken by newspaper or television\* and amateur photographers can be rich sources of fire behavior data. Even articles in general magazines can offer different perspectives on your case study.

**Interviewing.** Interviews with firefighters are a common source of fire behavior information. But be careful, for recollections are prone to hindsight bias. Recollections of fire events are often flawed, and they always reflect only a single point of view. **Lesson Learned:** When interviewing firefighters, be aware of hindsight bias. Always compare one person's memory of the fire with another's. Be skeptical. Seek information that disproves strongly held cause-effect relationships. Form your own view of what happened only after interviewing many firefighters and getting multiple perspectives.

**Fire Behavior Model Versus Reality.** It is understandable when fire behavior specialists or analysts

lament the fact that a fire behavior model did not predict what actually happened. But such discrepancies are simply part of making fire behavior predictions, and they will never fully disappear. One of the most interesting purposes of a fire behavior case study is to compare the projection against reality. **Lesson Learned:** In every case study, compare the fire behavior projection or prediction to what actually happened. Then discuss why the fire did or did not behave as predicted. In so doing, you will be honing your fire behavior prediction skills.

**Peer Review.** A case study, in the end, is the official fire behavior record. Your reputation is on the line. **Lesson Learned:** Time permitting, get peer review. Simply ask your colleagues what they think of your case study. It will ease your anxiety and improve your final product. But be prepared for contrary opinions, and don't be intimidated when others think differently. Always remember that fire behavior is complex and not easily captured in a report. You are doing the best you can.

**Case Study Publication.** You've prepared a case study. Now how are you going to distribute your report so that it will be useful to the fire community? **Lesson Learned:** A logical location for case studies are the Websites of local or national fire management agencies, such as the National Interagency Fire Center or the geographic coordination centers. Another possible location is the Lesson's Learned Center at the National Advanced Research

Technology Center in Marana, AZ (<http://www.wildfirelessons.net/>). But be careful about including color digital photographs with your report. Although photographs are truly worth a thousand words, they can bog down e-mail systems and limit the distribution of your report, although some of these obstacles can be overcome (Christenson 2003).

**Just Do It.** If fire behavior case studies are to become routine—our hope for more than a decade—then you must make a personal commitment to prepare them. **Lesson Learned:**

A fire behavior model cannot make a commitment; only an individual can. We hope that nothing will hold you back. When it comes to fire behavior case studies, we hope that you will, as the saying goes, "Just do it!"

## More Case Studies Needed!

In 1976, Craig Chandler, then Director of the Forest Service's Division of Forest Fire and Atmospheric Sciences Research, pointed out that many wildland fire behavior case studies were produced by fire researchers and fire weather meteorologists during the 1950s and 1960s, but that he had not seen many lately, presumably due to "higher priorities elsewhere" (Chandler 1976). He suggested that "we reexamine our priorities." Alexander (2002) has proposed establishing permanent, full-time national operational fire behavior research units. But there is also the opportunity to help oneself directly.

\* Inquire as soon as possible (within at least 24 hours) about the availability of videotape footage, because the complete record is typically not archived.

Chandler's comment is still valid for everyone involved in wildland fire, not just scientists and forecasters.

We should be observing/documenting wildland fires and preparing case studies not for fear of litigation (Underwood 1993), but rather to improve our understanding of fire behavior for the safe and effective management of wildland fires (Countryman 1972). If every fire manager and fire researcher made it a personal goal to produce one case study per year, regardless of size, just think how many case studies could be produced in a 20- to 35-year career! As it stands now, less than one-tenth of 1 percent of all wildland fires are properly analyzed and documented. We must do better.

## Acknowledgments

The authors offer their sincerest heartfelt appreciation to Hutch Brown, Madelyn Dillon, and Carol LoSapio, editors of *Fire Management Today*, for their significant contributions to this special issue, and to April Baily, the journal's general manager, for supporting the concept of these special issues on wildland fire behavior. Their dedication and outstanding editorial abilities have brought "life" to many of the articles contained in this issue that have long been forgotten.

## References

- Alexander, M.E. 1991. The 1985 Butte Fire in central Idaho: A Canadian perspective on the associated burning conditions. In: Nodvin, S.C.; Waldrop, T.A., eds. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Fire and the Environment: Ecological and Cultural Perspectives; 1990 March 20–24; Knoxville, TN: Gen. Tech. Rep. SE–69. Asheville, NC: USDA Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station: 334–343.
- Alexander, M.E. 1992. The 1990 Stephen Bridge Road Fire: A Canadian perspective on the fire danger conditions. *Wildfire News & Notes*. 6(1): 6.
- Alexander, M.E. 2000. The Mann Gulch Fire and the Canadian Forest Fire Danger Rating System. In: Preprints, Third AMS Symposium on Fire and Forest Meteorology; 2000 January 9–14; Long Beach, CA: American Meteorological Society: 97–98.
- Alexander, M.E. 2002. The staff ride approach to wildland fire behavior and firefighter safety awareness training: a commentary. *Fire Management Today*. 62(4): 25–30.
- Alexander, M.E.; Janz, B.; Quintilio, D. 1983. Analysis of extreme wildfire behavior in east-central Alberta: A case study. In: Preprint Volume, Seventh Conference on Fire and Forest Meteorology; 1983 April 25–29; Fort Collins, CO: Boston, MA: American Meteorological Society: 38–46.
- Alexander, M.E.; Pearce, H.G. 1992a. Follow-up to the Spokane area Firestorm'91 report: What were the Canadian fire danger indices? *Wildfire News & Notes*. 6(4): 6–7.
- Alexander, M.E.; Pearce, H.G. 1992b. Guidelines for investigation and documentation of wildfires in exotic pine plantations. Report prepared for 12th Meeting of the Australian Forestry Council Research Working Group (RWG) No. 6 – Fire Management Research, 1992 December 9; Creswick, Victoria.
- Alexander, M.E.; Pearce, H.G. 1993. The Canadian fire danger ratings associated with the 1991 Oakland-Berkeley Hills Fire. *Wildfire News & Notes* 7(2): 1, 5.
- Alexander, M.E.; Thomas, D.A. 2003. Wildland fire behavior case studies and analyses: Value, approaches, and practical uses. *Fire Management Today*. 63(3): 4–8.
- Anderson, H.E. 1968. Sundance Fire: an analysis of fire phenomena. Res. Pap. INT–56. Ogden, UT: USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station.
- Anderson, K. 2001. NIFC RAWs unit survives burnover. *Fire Management Today*. 61(2): 39–42.
- Anderson, S. 2003. The Miners Road Fire of 2nd February 2003. *Fire Tech. Trans. Note 28*. Christchurch, NZ: New Zealand Forest Research, Forest and Rural Fire Research Programme. [<http://www/forestresearch.co.nz/five/>]
- Brown, A.A.; Davis, K.P. 1973. *Forest fire: Control and use*. 2nd ed. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Book Company: 511–512.
- Buckley, A.J. 1992. Fire behavior and fuel reduction burning: Bemm River wildfire, October 1988. *Australian Forestry*. 55: 135–147.
- Burrows, N.D. 1984. Describing forest fires in Western Australia. Tech. Pap. No. 9. Perth, WA: Forests Department of Western Australia.
- Bushey, C.L. 1991. Documentation of the Canyon Creek Fire, volumes 1 and 2. Contr. Rep. #43–03R6–9–360. Missoula, MT: USDA Forest Service, Lolo National Forest.
- Butler, B.W.; Bartlette, R.A.; Bradshaw, L.S.; Cohen, J.D.; Andrews, P.L.; Putnam, T.; Mangan, R.J. 1998. Fire behavior associated with the 1994 South Canyon Fire on Storm King Mountain, Colorado. Res. Pap. RMRS–RP–9. Fort Collins, CO: USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. [[http://www.fs.fed.us/rm/pubs/rmrs\\_rp09.html](http://www.fs.fed.us/rm/pubs/rmrs_rp09.html)]
- Butler, B.W.; Bartlette, R.A.; Bradshaw, L.S.; Cohen, J.D.; Andrews, P.L.; Putnam, T.; Mangan, R.J.; Brown, H. 2001. The South Canyon Fire revisited: lessons in fire behavior. *Fire Management Today*. 61(1): 14–20.
- Carpenter, G.A.; Ewing, D.M.; Thomas, D.; Berglund, A.; Lynch, T.; Croft, B. 2002. Price Canyon Fire entrapment investigation report. Missoula, MT and Price, UT: USDA Forest Service, Technology and Development Program and USDI Bureau of Land Management, Price Field Office. [<http://www.fire.blm.gov/textdocuments/PriceBDY.pdf>]
- Chandler, C.C. 1976. Meteorological needs of fire danger and fire behavior. In: Baker, D.H.; Fosberg, M.A., tech. coords. Proceedings of the Fourth National Conference on Fire and Forest Meteorology; 1976 November 16–18; St. Louis, MO. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM–32. Fort Collins, CO: USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: 38–41.
- Cheney, P.; Sullivan, A. 1997. Grassfires: Fuel, weather and fire behaviour. Collingwood, VIC: Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation Publishing: 73–79.
- Chester, G.S.; Adams, J.L. 1963. Checklist of wildfire observations and checklist of equipment for wildfire observation. Mimeo. Rep. 63–MS–19. Winnipeg, MB: Canada Department of Forestry, Forest Research Branch.
- Christenson, D.A. 2003. Personal written communication. Assistant Manager, Wildland Fire Lessons Learned Center, USDA Forest Service, Marana, AZ.
- Countryman, C.M. 1969. Use of air tankers pays off—A case study. Res. Note PSW–188. Berkeley, CA: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station.
- Countryman, C.M. 1972. The fire environment concept. Berkeley, CA: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station.

- Countryman, C.M.; Fosberg, M.A.; Rothermel, R.C.; Schroeder, M.J. 1968. Fire weather and behavior of the 1966 Loop Fire. *Fire Technology*. 4: 126–141.
- Countryman, C.M.; McCutchan, M.H.; Ryan, B.C. 1969. Fire weather and fire behavior at the 1968 Canyon Fire. *Res. Pap. PSW-55*. Berkeley, CA: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station.
- Cruz, M.G., Viegas, D.X. 1997. Arrábida wildfire: Analysis of critical fire weather conditions. *Silva Lusitana*. 5(2): 209–223.
- DeCoste, J.H.; Wade, D.D.; Deeming, J.E. 1968. The Gaston Fire. *Res. Pap. SE-43*. Asheville, NC: USDA Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station.
- Dentoni, M.C.; Defosse, G.E.; Labraga, J.C.; del Valle, H.F. 2001. Atmospheric and fuel conditions related to the Puerto Madryn Fire of 21 January, 1994. *Meteorological Applications* 8: 361–370.
- Dibble, D.L. 1960. Fireclimate survey trailer. *Fire Control Notes*. 21(4): 16–20.
- Donoghue, L.R. 1982. The history and reliability of the USDA Forest Service wildfire report. *Res. Pap. NC-226*. St. Paul, MN: USDA Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station.
- Fogarty, L.G. 1996. Two rural/urban interface fires in the Wellington suburb of Karori: Assessment of burning conditions and fire control strategies. *FRI Bull. No. 197*, For. Rural Fire Sci. Tech. Ser. Rep. No. 1. Rotorua and Wellington, NZ: New Zealand Forest Research Institute and National Rural Fire Authority. [<http://www.forestresearch.co.nz/fire>]
- Geddes, D.J.; Pfeiffer, E.R. 1981. The Caroline Forest fire, 2nd February 1979. *Bull. 26*. Adelaide, SA: South Australia Woods and Forests Department.
- Goens, D.A.; Andrews, P.L. 1998. Weather and fire behavior factors related to the 1990 Dude Fire near Payson, AZ. In: *Preprint Volume, Second Symposium on Fire and Forest Meteorology*; 1998 January 11–16; Phoenix, AZ: Boston, MA: American Meteorological Society: 153–158.
- Graham, R.T., tech. ed. 2003a. Hayman Fire case study. *Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-114*. Fort Collins, CO: USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station.
- Graham, R.T., tech. ed. 2003b. Hayman Fire case study: Summary. *Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-115*. Fort Collins, CO: USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station.
- Haines, D.A.; Main, W.A.; Simard, A.J. 1985. Operational validation of the National Fire-Danger Rating System in the Northeast. In: Donoghue, L.R.; Martin, R.E., eds. *Proceedings of the Eighth Conference on Fire and Forest Meteorology*. 1985 April 29–May 2; Detroit, MI: SAF Publ. 85–04. Bethesda, MD: Society of American Foresters: 169–177.
- Jemison, G.M. 1934. Beaufort scale of wind force as adapted for use on forested areas of the Northern Rocky Mountains. *Journal of Agricultural Research*. 49: 77–82.
- Keeves, A.; Douglas, D.R. 1983. Forest fires in South Australia on 16 February 1983 and consequent future forest management aims. *Australian Forestry*. 46: 148–162.
- Kiil, A.D.; Grigel, J.E. 1969. The May 1968 forest conflagrations in central Alberta – a review of fire weather, fuels and fire behavior. *Inf. Rep. A-X-24*. Calgary, AB: Canada Department of Fisheries and Forestry, Forest Research Laboratory.
- Lawson, B.D. 1975. Forest fire spread and energy output determined from low altitude infrared imagery. In: *Proceedings of Symposium on Remote Sensing and Photo Interpretation—International Society for Photogrammetry Commission VII, Volume I*; 1974 October 7–11; Banff, AB. Ottawa, ON: Canadian Institute of Surveying: 363–378.
- List, R.J. 1951. *Smithsonian meteorological tables*. 6th rev. ed. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press: 119.
- Luke, R.H.; McArthur, A.G. 1978. *Bushfires in Australia*. Canberra, ACT: Australian Government Publishing Service: 214.
- McAlpine, R.S.; Stocks, B.J.; Van Wagner, C.E.; Lawson, B.D.; Alexander, M.E.; Lynham, T.J. 1990. Forest fire behavior research in Canada. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Forest Fire Research*; 1990 November 19–22; Coimbra, Portugal: Coimbra, Portugal: University of Coimbra: A02:1–12.
- McArthur, A.G.; Cheney, N.P.; Barber, J. 1982. The fires of 12 February 1977 in the Western District of Victoria. Canberra, ACT and Melbourne, VIC: Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Division of Forest Research and Country Fire Authority.
- McArthur, A.G.; Douglas, D.R.; Mitchell, L.R. 1966. The Wandilo Fire, 5 April 1958 – fire behaviour and associated meteorological and fuel conditions. *Leaf. No. 98*. Canberra, ACT: Commonwealth of Australia, Forest and Timber Bureau, Forest Research Institute.
- Mottus, B. 2002. Duffield wildfire behavior and review of April 24 2001 fire in Parkland County in west-central Alberta. Edmonton, AB: Canadian Forest Service, Northern Forestry Centre.
- NFPA (National Fire Protection Association). 1990. Black Tiger Fire case study. Quincy, MA: NFPA. [Reprinted as: National Fire Equipment System Publication NFES 2130 by the National Wildfire Coordinating Group, Boise, ID.]
- NFPA (National Fire Protection Association). 1991. Stephan Bridge Road Fire case study. Quincy, MA: NFPA. [Reprinted as: National Fire Equipment System Publication NFES 2176 by the National Wildfire Coordinating Group, Boise, ID.]
- NFPA (National Fire Protection Association). 1992a. Firestorm '91 case study. Quincy, MA: NFPA.
- NFPA (National Fire Protection Association). 1992b. The Oakland/Berkeley Hills Fire. Quincy, MA: NFPA.
- Ogilvie, C.J.; Lieskovsky, R.J.; Young, R.W.; Jaap, G. 1995. An evaluation of forward-looking infrared equipped air attack. *Fire Management Notes*. 55(1): 17–20.
- Pearce, G.; Anderson, S. 2002. Wildfire documentation: The need for case studies illustrated using the example of “The Atawhai Fire of 7 May 2002: A case study.” *Fire Tech. Trans. Note 2.6*. Christchurch, NZ: New Zealand Forest Research, Forest and Rural Fire Research Programme. [<http://www.forestresearch.co.nz/five/>].
- Pearce, H.G.; Morgan, R.F.; Alexander, M.E. 1994. Wildfire behaviour case study of the 1986 Awarua wetlands fire. *Fire Technol. Transfer Note No. 5*. Rotorua and Wellington, NZ: New Zealand Forest Research Institute and National Rural Fire Authority. [<http://www.forestresearch.co.nz/fire>]
- Pirsko, A.R.; Sergius, L.M.; Hickerson, C.W. 1965. Causes and behavior of a tornadic fire-whirlwind. *Res. Note PSW-61*. Berkeley, CA: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station.
- Prior, K.W. 1958. The Balmoral Forest Fire. *New Zealand Journal of Forestry*. 7(5): 35–50.
- Rassmussen, J.H.; Fogarty, L.G. 1997. A case study of grassland fire behaviour and suppression: the Tikokino Fire of 31 January 1991. *FRI Bull. No. 197*, For. Rural Fire Sci. Tech. Ser. Rep. No. 2. Rotorua and Wellington, NZ: New Zealand Forest Research Institute and National Rural Fire Authority. [<http://www.forestresearch.co.nz/fire>]
- Rothermel, R.C.; Rinehart, G.C. 1983. Field procedures for verification and adjustment of fire behavior predictions. *Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-142*. Ogden, UT: USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. [Reprinted as: National Fire Equipment System Publication NFES 2183 by the National Wildfire Coordinating Group, Boise, ID.]

- Rothermel, R.C.; Hartford, R.A. 1992. Fire behavior data collection request. Unpubl. Rep. Missoula, MT: USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station, Intermountain Fire Sciences Laboratory.
- Sando, R.W.; Haines, D.A. 1972. Fire weather and fire behavior on the Little Sioux Fire. Res. Pap. NC-76. St. Paul, MN: USDA Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station.
- Schaefer, V.J. 1959. Use of the 60-second-print camera for stereophotography of project fires and related activities. Fire Control Notes. 20: 89-90.
- Schaefer, V.J. 1961. Better quantitative observations of atmospheric phenomena in going fires. In: Proceedings, Society of American Foresters Meeting; 1960 November 13-16; Washington, DC. Washington, DC: Society of American Foresters: 120-124.
- Simard, A.J.; Haines, D.A.; Blank, R.W.; Frost, J.S. 1983. The Mack Lake Fire. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-83. St. Paul, MN: USDA Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. [Reprinted as: National Fire Equipment System Publication NFES 2167 by the National Wildfire Coordinating Group, Boise, ID.]
- Stocks, B.J. 1988. Forest fire close to home: Terrace Bay Fire #7/86. In: Fischer, W.C.; Arno, S.F., comps. Protecting People and Homes From Wildfire in the Interior West: Proceedings of the Symposium and Workshop; 1987 October 6-8; Missoula, MT: Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-251. Ogden, UT: USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station: 189-193.
- Sutton, M.W. 1984. Extraordinary flame heights observed in pine tree fires on 16 February 1983. Australian Forestry. 47: 199-200.
- Taylor, D.F.; Williams, D.T. 1968. Severe storm features of a wildfire. Agricultural Meteorology. 5: 311-318.
- Thomas, D. 1994. A case for fire behavior case studies. Wildfire. 3(3): 45, 47.
- Tolhurst, K.G.; Chatto, K. 1998. Behaviour and threat of a plume-driven bushfire in west-central Victoria, Australia. In: Weber, R., chair. Proceedings 13th Conference on Fire and Forest Meteorology, Lorne, Australia, Volume 2; 1996 October 27-31; Lorne, VIC: Moran, WY: International Association of Wildland Fire: 321-331.
- Turner, J.A.; Lillywhite, J.W.; Pieslak, Z. 1961. Forecasting for forest fire services. Tech. Note No. 42. Geneva, Switzerland: World Meteorological Organization: 12.
- Underwood, S. 1993. Fire aftermath: A county deals with citizen and corporate complaints. In: Wallace, G., ed. 1992 Symposium and Workshop Proceedings: The Power of Politics, the Media and the Public to Affect Wildland/Urban Fire Protection Programs in the 1990's; 1992 April 21-25; Missoula, MT: Missoula, MT: National Wildfire Foundation: 43-46.
- Underwood, R.J.; Sneeuwjagt, R.J., Styles, H.G. 1985. The contribution of prescribed burning to forest fire control in Western Australia: Case studies. In: Ford, J.R., ed. Proceedings of the Symposium on Fire Ecology and Management of Western Australian Ecosystems; 1985 May 10-11; Perth, WA. WAIT Environ. Stud. Group Rep. No. 14. Perth, WA: Western Australia Institute of Technology: 153-170.
- USDA Forest Service. 1960. The "Pungo 1959" Fire—A case study. In: Annual Report, 1959. Asheville, NC: USDA Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station: 39-42.
- Van Wagner, C.E. 1965. Story of an intense crown fire at Petawawa. Pulp and Paper Magazine of Canada 66: WR358-WR361.
- Wade, D.D.; Ward, D.E. 1973. An analysis of the Air Force Bomb Range Fire. Res. Pap. SE-105. Asheville, NC: USDA Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station.
- Walker, J.D.; Stocks, B.J. 1972. Analysis of two 1971 wildfires in Ontario: Thackeray and Whistle Lake. Inf. Rep. O-X-166. Sault Ste. Marie, ON: Canadian Forestry Service, Great Lakes Forest Research Centre.
- Warren, J.R.; Vance, D.L. 1981. Remote automatic weather station for resource and fire management. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-116. Ogden, UT: USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. ■